•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/890,580	OKADA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Barbara J. Musser	1733
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>After final</u>	
(1) <u>Barbara J. Musser</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ralph Webb</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:	•	
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Examiner indicated the amendment would not be entered since		WAS DISCUSSED:
Dort III		
Part III. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To fit the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ	he examiner will provide a erecord of the substance of	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
		·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representativ	ve Signature – if appropriate)